UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/588,703	08/08/2006	Jean Dijon	294550US0PCT	2430
	0/588,703 08/08/2006 Jean Dijon  2850 7590 07/01/2009  DBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.  940 DUKE STREET	I EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		PADGETT, MARIANNE L		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1792		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/01/2009	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/588,703	DIJON ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	MARIANNE L. PADGETT	1792					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <i>08 Au</i>	igust 2006.						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
<i>,</i> —	/ <del></del>						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
·= · · ·	8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers	·						
· · · <u> </u>	•						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)  1) \( \overline{\text{N}} \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) \( \overline{\text{N}} \) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/588,703 Page 2

Art Unit: 1792

1. This application contains claims directed to <u>more than one species</u> of the generic

**invention**. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form

a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

**Species Grouping A** (drop formation or fragmentation techniques):

(i) thermal heat treatment;

(ii) hydrogen-plasma; and

**Species Grouping B** (use of drops or fragments):

(i) (carbon) nanotube or nanofiber formation;

(ii) oxide layer formation.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species out of each Species

Grouping to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The

reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently

added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive

unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to

additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an

allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant

must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Claim 2 = species A (i) & claim 3 = species A(ii), with <u>claim 14 containing both species of Species</u> Grouping A (i) & A(ii); and claims 8-9 & 16-17 = species B (i) & claims 11-12 & 19-20 =B(ii).

The following claim(s) are generic: claims 1, 4-7, 10, 13, 15 & 18 are generic.

Application/Control Number: 10/588,703 Page 3

Art Unit: 1792

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

with respect to Species Grouping A (drop formation or fragmentation techniques), species A(i) thermal heat treatment requires thermal action to cause the generic fragmentation effect, while species A(ii) hydrogen-plasma employs low-temperatures (designation considered to be excluding thermal action), thus using plasma species to cause the fragmentation effect, which are different special technical features, as the two techniques use different mechanistic effects & different energy sources to create the generic results. Note with respect to drop formation or fragmentation, it is not exactly clear what is being formed, since "a fragmented layer" implies that the layer might still be attached to a substrate, but is discontinuous, however "putting this thin layer into drops" (emphasis added) would appear to completely destroy the layer, so that it is no longer a layer at all & make individual unattached fragments, but the literal meaning of "drops" would appear to be contradicted by the particular enduses as represented in the claims of Species Grouping B. Perhaps the translated use of "drops" does not accurately reflect the original meaning. Use of "drops" as a noun or physically formed object, is not at all the same thing as fragmentation of a layer that remains a layer (see Webster's). This complicates determining how the two different special technical features may create the generic results.

With respect to **Species Grouping B** (use of the drops or fragments that have been made), species B(i) (carbon) nanotube or nanofiber formation requires both using different source materials for the deposition & creating completely different morphology from species B(ii) oxide layer formation, thus are different special technical features.

2. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/588,703 Page 4

Art Unit: 1792

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right

to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point

out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without

traverse.

3. Applicant is <u>reminded</u> that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named

inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of

inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37

CFR 1.17(i).

4. **Any inquiry** concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Marianne L. Padgett whose telephone number is (571) 272-1425. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F from about 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Timothy Meeks, can be reached at (571) 272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where

this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained

from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available

through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Marianne L. Padgett/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792

MLP/dictation software

6/(23 & 26)/2009